## BÖCKLI & THOMANN

#### ADVOKATUR NOTARIAT

4002 BASEL - POSTFACH 2348 - ST. JAKOBS-STR. 41 - TEL. (061) 50 50 25 - TELEX 64850 BOTO - TELEFAX (061) 50 87 11

PROF. DR. PETER BÖCKLI ADVOKAT

DR. FELIX H. THOMANN

DR. PATRICK WAMISTER
ADVOKAT UND NOTAR

DR. BERNHARD BODMER ADVOKAT UND NOTAR

Mitglieder der Advokatenkammer Basel und des Schweiz, Anwaltsverbandes Mr. W. Edward Bailey c/o Fish & Neave 875 Third Avenue

New York, N.Y. 10022 USA

September 16, 1985 Th/aa

Philip Morris (Patent Infringement Proceedings against BaW in Switzerland)

Dear Mr. Bailey,

I refer to my letter of August 26, 1985, and I would now like to give you my

### Opinion

on the question of possible infringement of Philip Morris Inc.'s Swiss patents no. 470'145 (Osmalov) and no. 633'421 (Filosa) by the sale of B&W's Barclay cigarettes in Switzerland.

#### I. Infringement of Swiss Patent no. 470'145 (Osmalov)

- 1. The characterizing elements of the patented mouth piece according to the Osmalov patent are
  - a) its arrangement in alignment with the tobacco section;

- b) its containing a continuous inner channel which is connected for the purposes of flow with the tobacco section;
- c) such inner channel forming a flow path for smoke drawn from the tobacco section;
- d) the digarette possesses a tobacco section composed of a tobacco cord and a wrapper;
- e) the tip contains at least one ventilation channel;
- f) the ventilation channel is arranged longitudinally;
- g) the ventilation channel is separate from the inner channel;
- the ventilation channel ends at an outlet at the end of the mouth piecewhich is farthest from the tobacco section;
- the ventilation channel is at its inlet connected to the outer air;
- j) when the digarette is smoked, a simultaneous stream of air is drawn through the ventilation channel.
- 2. Comparing the Barclay digarette to the characteristics outlined under 1. above, we come to the following conclusions:
  - a) Element a) is clearly realized.
  - b) The Barclay cigarette's tip does not contain an inner channel in the sense of an empty space as shown in fig. 1, 1 a), 2, 3, 5 and 6 9 of the Osmalov patent. It could however be argued that the inner channel does not

- 3 -

have to be empty, but could be filled by porous material such as a filter rod (as shown in fig. 4 of the Osmalov patent); this argument could be supported by the fact that the priority patent (U.S. no. 3'490'461) uses the expression "passage" rather than "channel".

- c) Element c) would be realized if the term "inner channel" were interpreted according to b) above.
- d) Element d) is not essential because the Osmalov patent covers only a digaratte tip and not the entire digaratte; however, the element describes the obvious structure of a digaratte which is also realized in the Barclay type.
- e) Element e) is realized: The Barclay digarette contains four grooves.
- f) Element f) is also realized: The Barclay grooves are longitudinal.
- g) Element g) is realized if the term "inner channel" is interpreted according to b) above.
- h) Element h) is realized: The Barclay digarette's grooves end at the end of the tip which is farthest from the tobacco section.
- i) Element i) is realized.
- j) Element j) is realized.

I come to the conclusion that the Barclay digarette incorporates all characterizing elements of the Osmalov patent provided that the term "inner channel" is interpreted according to b) above.

16/09/85

- 4 -

# II. Infringement of Swiss Patent No. 633'421 (Filosa)

The numerous claims of the Filosa patent necessitate a choice as to which claim should be compared to the Barclay digarette. For reasons which are self-evident, I am choosing claim 6.

- 1. The characterizing elements of the patented filter digarette according to claim 6 of the Filosa patent are:
  - a) A rod of smoking material;
  - b) a filter attached to the rod;
  - c) the filter's cross section is smaller than the cross section of the rod;
  - d) the filter is situated in a mouth piece of essentially the same cross section as the rod;
  - e) the tip's outer wall contains at least one air inlet;
  - f) the tip contains at least one separate, longitudinal ventilation channel for conducting air from the inlet to the end of the tip.
- 2. Comparing the Filosa patent to the characteristics outlined under 1. above, we come to the following conclusions:
  - a) Element a) is realized.
  - b) Element b) is also clearly realized.
  - c) Element c) is realized because the grooves in the Barclay filter reduce that filter's cross section. It could however be argued that, in the Barclay cigarette, the filter's cross section is only marginally smaller than the

cross section of the rod while the Filosa patent seems to stress the importance of a substantial difference (cf. claim 2).

- d) Element d) is clearly realized.
- e) Elément e) is also realized.
- f) Element f) is realized.

I come to the conclusion that the Barclay eigerette incorporates all characterizing elements of the invention protected by the Filosa patent unless element c) is interpreted in such a way as to require a substantial difference of the cross sections of filter and rod.

#### III. Recapitulation

As can be seen from I. and II. above, infringement by Baw of both the Osmalov and the Filosa patents may depend on the interpretation of one characterizing element each, namely the term "inner channel" in connection with the Osmalov and the cross section difference between filter and rod in connection with the Filosa patent. Because these questions are technical as well as legal, I suggest that they be submitted to a technical expert (preferably Philip Morris' Swiss patent attorney) before any decisions are made.

For good order's make, let me say that I have <u>not</u> examined the validity of the Osmalov and the Filosa patents.

007

- 6 -

I hope that this letter will prove useful, and I remain of course at your disposal for any additional information you may require.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Felix H. Thomann

2025046031